

(E) allow for freedom of expression, including the right to protest, press freedom, and freedom of movement; and

(F) allow unfettered reporting from local, national, and international media;

(3) calls on social media companies, in particular Facebook, to suspend the accounts of USDP and Tatmadaw military leaders that have used their platforms to spread disinformation, fear, and psychological violence;

(4) supports the use of all diplomatic and development tools to ensure that in conflict contexts vulnerable groups, including ethnic and religious minorities, as well as all children, youth, and teachers in educational settings are safe, and schools and universities are not targeted for attacks or use by the military; and

(5) calls on the President, the Secretary of State, and the Secretary of Defense to immediately take all steps necessary under the coup designation and to—

(A) reinstate and impose new targeted restrictions aimed at the Tatmadaw, military-owned or controlled enterprises, and those responsible for the February 1, 2021, coup;

(B) work with the international community, including at the United Nations Security Council, with United States allies in the region, and with the Association of Southeast Asian Nations, to forcefully condemn the coup and enact multilateral, targeted sanctions on the military, military owned businesses, military partners' business interests, and others connected to the coup;

(C) enact conditionality on diplomatic, economic, and security relations with Burma, including using the voice and vote of the United States at multilateral development institutions, until all those detained in the February 1, 2021, coup are released and there has been a full restoration of civilian-controlled parliament respecting the November 8, 2020, election results; and

(D) utilize the United States Government's position on the United Nations Security Council to bring about greater international cooperation in the pursuit of justice and accountability in Burma.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED ON FEBRUARY 4, 2021

SA 547. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. ROMNEY, Mr. TOOMEY, Mr. PORTMAN, and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2021 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2022 through 2030; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 548. Mr. PORTMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 549. Mr. PORTMAN (for himself and Mr. YOUNG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 550. Mr. COTTON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 551. Mr. LANKFORD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 552. Mr. TILLIS (for himself and Mr. BURR) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 553. Mr. TOOMEY (for himself, Mr. DAINES, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. TUBERVILLE, Mr.

PAUL, Mr. HOEVEN, Mr. WICKER, Mr. BLUNT, and Mr. INHOFE) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 554. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 555. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 556. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 557. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 558. Mr. CORNYN proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 559. Mr. MORAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 560. Mr. MORAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 561. Mr. MORAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 562. Mr. MORAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 563. Mr. MORAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 564. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 565. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 566. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 567. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 568. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 569. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 570. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 571. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 572. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 573. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 574. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 575. Mr. CRAMER (for himself, Ms. LUMMIS, and Mr. DAINES) submitted an

amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 576. Mr. CRAMER (for himself, Ms. LUMMIS, Mr. DAINES, and Mrs. BLACKBURN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 577. Mr. CRAMER (for himself, Ms. LUMMIS, Mr. DAINES, and Mrs. BLACKBURN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 578. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 579. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 580. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 581. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 582. Mr. CRAMER (for himself and Mr. DAINES) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 583. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 584. Mr. CRAMER (for himself and Mr. TILLIS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 585. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 586. Mr. CRAMER (for himself and Mr. TILLIS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 587. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 588. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 589. Mr. CRAMER (for himself and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 590. Mr. CRAMER (for himself and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 591. Mr. CRAMER (for himself and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 592. Mr. CRAMER (for himself and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 593. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 594. Mr. TUBERVILLE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him

SA 720. Mr. RISCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

ment intended to be proposed by her to the

SA 844. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him

to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 845. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 846. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 847. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 848. Mr. TILLIS (for himself, Mr. INHOFE, and Mr. TOOMEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 849. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 850. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 851. Mr. TILLIS (for himself, Mr. INHOFE, and Mr. TOOMEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 852. Mrs. BLACKBURN (for herself, Mr. HAGERTY, and Mr. BRAUN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 853. Ms. CORTEZ MASTO (for herself and Mr. CRAMER) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 854. Ms. ROSEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 855. Ms. ROSEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 856. Ms. ROSEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 857. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 858. Mr. YOUNG submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 859. Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. PORTMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 860. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 861. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 862. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 863. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 864. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 865. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her

to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 866. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 867. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 868. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 869. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 870. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 871. Mr. CRUZ proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 872. Mr. SCOTT, of Florida proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 873. Mr. SCOTT, of Florida submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 874. Mr. HAGERTY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 875. Mr. HOEVEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 876. Mr. HOEVEN (for himself, Mr. CRAMER, and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 877. Mr. MARSHALL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 878. Mr. LUJAN (for himself, Mr. KELLY, Mr. HEINRICH, and Mr. WARNOCK) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 879. Mr. BARRASSO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 880. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 881. Mr. KELLY (for himself and Mr. LUJAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 882. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 883. Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 884. Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED ON FEBRUARY 5, 2021

SA 885. Mr. BURR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the

concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2021 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2022 through 2030; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 886. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 887. Mr. HOEVEN (for himself, Mr. CRAMER, and Mr. LANKFORD) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 888. Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. SANDERS) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

SA 889. Mr. MCCONNELL proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, supra.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 547. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. ROMNEY, Mr. TOOMEY, Mr. PORTMAN, and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2021 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2022 through 2030; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page	49,	line	10,	strike
"\$1,296,487,000,000"				
			and	insert
"\$1,159,892,000,000".				

SA 548. Mr. PORTMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 5, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2021 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2022 through 2030; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in title IV, add the following:

SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST INCREASING THE FEDERAL CORPORATE TAX RATE TO A RATE HIGHER THAN THE AVERAGE STATUTORY RATE FOR OECD MEMBER STATES IN 2020.

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In the Senate, it shall not be in order to consider a provision in a bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that increases the Federal income tax rate on corporations to a rate higher than the average statutory corporate tax rate in 2020 for States that are members of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development in 2020 (as determined by the Joint Committee on Taxation, based on data provided by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development).

(2) POINT OF ORDER SUSTAINED.—If a point of order is made by a Senator against a provision described in paragraph (1), and the point of order is sustained by the Chair, that provision shall be stricken from the measure and may not be offered as an amendment from the floor.

(b) FORM OF THE POINT OF ORDER.—A point of order under subsection (a)(1) may be raised by a Senator as provided in section 313(e) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 644(e)).

(c) CONFERENCE REPORTS.—When the Senate is considering a conference report on, or